

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRAMMELL GLENN ROBINSON, III,

Plaintiff,

v.

RIDGECREST SUPERIOR COURT,

Defendant.

No. 1:24-cv-01106-SAB

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO  
RANDOMLY ASSIGN A DISTRICT JUDGE  
TO THIS ACTION

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION  
RECOMMENDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS BE  
DENIED

(ECF Nos. 2, 10)

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, filed the instant action on August 22, 2024 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California against Defendants Tracy Ghalliger and Ridgecrest Superior Court<sup>1</sup> along with a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. (ECF Nos. 1, 2.) The matter was transferred to this district on September 12, 2024. (ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff's prison trust statement was submitted on September 23, 2024. (ECF No. 10.) For the reasons discussed herein, the Court recommends that Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied.

///

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court construes Ridgecrest Superior Court to be referring to the Kern County Superior Court located in Ridgecrest, California.

I.

## DISCUSSION

Title 28, United States Code, Section 1915(a)(1) permits a plaintiff to bring a civil action “without prepayment of fees or security thereof” if the plaintiff submits a financial affidavit that demonstrates the plaintiff’s “is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor.” A prisoner seeking to bring a civil action must, in addition to filing an affidavit, “submit a certified copy of the trust fund account statement … for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint … obtained from the appropriate official of each prison at which the prisoner is or was confined.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).

Plaintiff has filed an application declaring that, due to his poverty, he is unable to pre-pay the full amount of fees and costs for these proceedings or give security therefor, and that he believes that he is entitled to the relief sought in his complaint. On September 23, 2024, the Court received Plaintiff's prison trust account statement from High Desert State Prison. (ECF No. 10.) The statement provides that as of September 23, 2024, Plaintiff has \$906.74 to his credit. Thus, the available balance in Plaintiff's account reflects that he can pay the \$405.00 filing fee for this action.

Based on the foregoing, the information that Plaintiff has provided to the Court reflects that he is financially able to pre-pay the entire filing fee to commence this action. Although the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has held that “the filing fee ... should not take the prisoner's last dollar,” Olivares v. Marshall, 59 F.3d 109, 112 (9th Cir. 1995), in these circumstances, it appears Plaintiff has sufficient funds to pre-pay the \$405.00 filing fee with money left over. Should Plaintiff have additional information to provide the Court—or should his available balance change by the time he receives this order—he may notify the Court. However, the Court has the authority to consider any reasons and circumstances for any change in Plaintiff's available assets and funds. See Collier v. Tatum, 722 F.2d 653, 656 (11th Cir. 1983) (district court may consider an unexplained decrease in an inmate's trust account, or whether an inmate's account has been depleted intentionally to avoid court costs). Therefore, Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis must be denied. If Plaintiff wishes to proceed with this action, Plaintiff must pre-pay the

1 \$405.00 filing fee in full.

2 **II.**

3 **ORDER AND RECOMMENDATION**

4 Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly  
5 assign a District Judge to this action.

6 Further, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

7 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 2) be denied; and  
8 2. Plaintiff be directed to pay the \$405.00 filing fee for this action.

9 These Findings and Recommendations will be submitted to the United States District  
10 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within **fourteen**  
11 (**14** days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written  
12 objections with the Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  
13 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
14 specified time may result in the waiver of rights on appeal. Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834,  
15 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) (citing Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)).

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: September 27, 2024



---

18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28